

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 20-1839V

UNPUBLISHED

ANNE GARZA,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: April 20, 2022

Special Processing Unit (SPU);
Ruling on Entitlement; Concession;
Table Injury; Influenza (Flu);
Shoulder Injury Related to Vaccine
Administration (SIRVA).

Ronald Craig Homer, Conway, Homer, P.C., Boston, MA, for Petitioner.

Mitchell Jones, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

RULING ON ENTITLEMENT¹

On December 11, 2020, Anne Garza filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the “Vaccine Act”). Petitioner alleged that he suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration (“SIRVA”) as a result of the influenza (“flu”) vaccine administered in his left deltoid on October 9, 2019. Petition at 1. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

On April 20, 2022, Respondent filed his Rule 4(c) report in which he **concedes** that Petitioner’s alleged injury is consistent with the SIRVA criteria set forth in the Vaccine Injury Table (“Table”) and the accompanying Qualifications and Aids to Interpretation (“QAI”) and that compensation is appropriate. Specifically, Petitioner had no history of pain, inflammation, or dysfunction in the shoulder at issue before vaccination; the onset

¹ Because this unpublished ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims’ website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the ruling will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

of Petitioner's pain occurred within 48 hours after receipt of an intramuscular vaccination; Petitioner's pain was limited to the shoulder in which the vaccine was administered; and no other condition or abnormality has been identified to explain Petitioner's shoulder pain. Rule 4(c) Report at 3-4 (citing 42 C.F.R. §§ 100.3(a), (c)(10)). Additionally, Petitioner suffered the residual effects of her condition for more than six months and she has satisfied all legal prerequisites for compensation under the Act. *Id.* at 4.

In view of Respondent's position and the evidence of record, I find that Petitioner is entitled to compensation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran
Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master